



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,971	03/15/2004	Metin Ismail Taskin	CISCP366/8546	2348
22434 7.	590 07/20/2006		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP			VO, NGUYEN THANH	
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2618	
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/801,971	TASKIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nguyen T. Vo	2618			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	,—					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.					
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 9, 14-19, 21, 26-35, 38, 43-47</u> is/are rejected.					
	Stare rejected. ⊠ Claim(s) <u>7.8,10-13,20,22-25,36,37 and 39-42</u> is/are objected to.					
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
		. Glocker rogalioment.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 March 2004</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-6, 9, 14-19, 21, 26-35, 38, 43-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanwood (6,731,946, cited by examiner) in view of Gurne (5,541,840, cited by examiner).

As to claims 1, 16, 30, 47, Stanwood discloses a method for managing a first component (see the outdoor unit ODU 1140 in figure 12) of a wireless network using a second component (see the indoor unit IDU 1141 in figure 12) of the wireless network, the method comprising when a configuration recovery mode is selected at the second component without accessing a network interface of the second component, sending a

Art Unit: 2618

configuration signal from the second component to the first component (see column 8 lines 37-43; column 9 lines 31-57; column 11 lines 36-40), wherein the configuration signal specifies that the first component is to perform a reconfiguration (column 8 lines 37-43; column 9 lines 31-57; column 11 lines 36-40). Stanwood thus discloses all the claimed limitations except that the recovery mode is manually selected as claimed. Gurne discloses manually selecting a recovery mode (see column 8 line 61 to column 9 line 42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Stanwood with Gurne such that the recovery mode is manually selected, in order to allow the user and/or technician to select the recovery mode as desired (as suggested by Gurne at column 9 lines 22-34).

As to claims 2, 17, 31, the above combination of Stanwood and Gurne discloses the claimed limitations (see Gurne, column 9 lines 39-42).

As to claims 3, 32, the above combination of Stanwood and Gurne discloses the claimed limitations (see Stanwood, column 13 lines 63-67; column 24 lines 31-63).

As to claims 4, 6, 18, 33, 35, first of all according to the present specification the claimed limitation "an image recovery mode" is nothing but a software download mode (see the present specification, page 13 lines 14-17). The above combination of Stanwood and Gurne discloses a software download mode as claimed (see Stanwood, column 12 lines 31-39; column 19 lines 29-37).

As to claims 5, 19, 34, the above combination of Stanwood and Gurne discloses the claimed limitations (see Gurne, column 9 lines 39-42).

Application/Control Number: 10/801,971 Page 4

Art Unit: 2618

As to claims 9, 21, 38, the above combination of Stanwood and Gurne discloses the claimed limitations (see Stanwood, column 24 lines 51-63).

As to claims 14, 43, 45, they are rejected for similar reasons as set forth in claim 4.

As to claims 15, 44, 46, they are rejected for similar reasons as set forth in claim 5.

As to claim 26, the above combination of Stanwood and Gurne does disclose Internet access (see Stanwood, column 5 lines 1-12; column 28 lines 5-22) on coaxial cable (see column 5 lines 36-43) as claimed.

As to claims 27 and 28, the above combination of Stanwood and Gurne does disclose Internet access (see Stanwood, column 5 lines 1-12; column 28 lines 5-22) on coaxial cable (see column 5 lines 36-43). However, the above combination fails to disclose converting Cat5 into Ethernet signals as in claim 27, and 75 ohm CATV coax cables as in claim 28. The examiner, however, takes Official Notice that the above limitations are known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the above combination as claimed, in order to provide quick Internet access to the user of the system in figure 12 of Stanwood.

As to claim 29, the above combination of Stanwood and Gurne discloses the claimed limitation (see Stanwood, column 28 lines 19-22).

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/801,971

Art Unit: 2618

4. Claims 7-8, 10-13, 20, 22-25, 36-37, 39-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 5

As to claims 7-8, 20, 36-37, the applied references fail to disclose or render obvious details of the manual section as specified in the claims.

As to claims 10-13, 22-25, 39-42, the applied references fail to disclose or render obvious the method as specified in the claims.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wren (US 2004/0229562); Kaupe (US 2002/0032028); Buer (6,771,930); and Na (5,724,645) disclose communications between indoor unit IDU and outdoor unit ODU.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen T. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-7901. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on (571)272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,971

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nguyen Vo

NGUYENT.VO

Nguyen/10 7 14_2006 Page 6